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Abstract

Tsunami invaded the eastern coastlines of Mindanao islands several minutes after the strong ground shaking of the May 17, 1992 quake. Recent field investigations showed that tsunami intensity generally decreases southwards and northwards relative to Bunga and Zaragoza areas. There was an unusually high tsunami wave height (~6m) at Bunga that was most probably due to local site effect. Tsunami waves were generally preceded by the lowering of sea water level while the tsunami arrival times have some variation particularly in Bobon and Panompon. The period of the tsunami wave was quite difficult to determine because of sketchy details and so much variation in terms of the number of waves that attacked the areas investigated.

In terms of regional and local geomorphological effects, the 1992 event caused very minor changes. Tsunami sediments were dumped in very few places. It was noted that the coral reefs located between 100-250m from the shore of eastern Mindanao were the coastal features that most probably attenuate the effects tsunami. Local subsidence was likewise observed west of the affected areas.

Recommended future activities are tsunami simulations and detailed shore morphology mapping to explain anomalous observations like tsunami intensity, unusual tsunami height and subsidence. Furthermore, considering that there were two large events that occurred during that day less than 30 minutes apart, it is was quite interesting that only one strong ground shaking was observed by local inhabitants. Thus, it is highly recommended that a closer look into the seismic data would be undertaken to explain such anomaly.
Introduction

Recent field investigations under the Tsunami Mapping and Hazard Assessment Program (TMHAP) of the Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology (PHIVOLCS) confirmed various notable tsunami effects of the May 17, 1992 earthquake. During that event, tsunami invaded the eastern coastlines of Mindanao islands several minutes after the strong ground shaking. However, the initial location of epicenter by PHIVOLCS at that time indicated that the event occurred inland and associated the event to the Philippine Fault Zone in southeastern Mindanao. Thus, most of the activities undertaken were on recording of aftershocks while the documentation efforts were focused on the damages related to ground shaking and finding the inland ground rupture (Daligdig and Tungol, 1992). However, later determination of epicenter pointed to an event associated with the Philippine Trench (Narag et al, 1992), but the information gathered through the 1992 field mapping activities on tsunami was not enough to assess the extent of floodwaters inundation-related damages. The same can be said in terms of assessment regarding the arrival times and oscillation of waves relative to the main event. This event was reported recently by Lander et al (2003) as an earthquake with minor tsunami. It should likewise be noted that during that day, two large earthquakes occurred only 26 minutes apart from each other with the following parameter, (1) origin time is 09:49:19.11 GMT; located at 7.239ºN, 126.645ºE; 25 km deep and magnitude, Ms 7.1 and (2) origin time is 10:15:31.31 GMT; located at 7.191ºN, 126.762ºN, 33 km deep and magnitude Ms 7.5 (NEIC Preliminary Determination of Epicenter) as shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1:** Map showing the location of the study area and the focal mechanisms of the earthquakes that occurred in May 17, 1992. The location of the first and second events are shown in black and red stars, respectively. The beach balls show their corresponding focal mechanisms. Index shows the location of study area relative the Philippine archipelago.

This paper illustrates some interesting findings of the TMHAP’s field mapping activity related to the 1992 earthquake in the provinces of Davao Oriental and Surigao del Sur. This study likewise mentions some tasks to be undertaken in the future based on the analysis of the tsunami event and field observations to the 1992 earthquake.
Methodology

Figure 2: Map showing Davao del Sur and adjacent provinces. Also shown are the places visited during the investigation.

Most of the data and information were gathered from field inspection of shoreline morphology as well as from eyewitnesses and survivors through interviews. True wave heights of tsunami were measured and/or estimated from the accounts of the interviewees (i.e. relative to their body or height of watermarks) and landmarks such as trees, rocks, coral reefs, dikes, riverbanks and other natural features found in the area. Existing records from barangays and municipalities were gathered whenever possible for verification purposes, particularly in terms of damages related to tsunami inundation.

Figure 3: Schematic diagram showing the “true height” of tsunami.

During interviews, information was extracted from the interviewees both through a patterned set of questions and through their own spontaneous accounts from the time they felt the strong ground shaking until the tsunami waves receded to its normal level. Interview was conducted at least every 10 to 20 kilometers for a uniform sampling point between municipalities and communities along the coast (Figure 2). Each tsunami intensity measurement for all the areas visited is based on the Tsunami Intensity Scale (Ambrasey, 1962).
Data

The data and results from interviews are illustrated in the succeeding maps. Each site where the inspection, interview and measurements were undertaken is shown in Figure 2.

Aside from the accounts of interviewees, other geo-morphological features in the vicinity were likewise noted. Heights and/or water level mentioned throughout the report refer to wave “true height” as shown in Figure 3. Further details for each site are found in the 2002 report by Besana et al (unpublished report).

Analysis and Discussions

Out of twenty-four (24) towns and communities that were visited, nineteen (19) sites were directly affected by the tsunami that was generated during the May 17, 1992 event. These sites were located mainly along the coastal areas of Davao del Sur and Surigao del Sur. True heights were measured in all locations together with the arrival times and period of tsunami waves (Table 1). Other observable features like subsidence, uplift, erosion etc. were likewise noted.

Figure 4: Measured tsunami height along the eastern coast of Davao Oriental and Surigao del Sur.

Considering the true heights of tsunami, the highest wave that was measured is located at Bunga (~6m), a northern barangay of Manay municipality. It has a very big difference in height relative to the measured waves at Santiago and Zaragoza by about 400%, thus, causing the worst effect in terms of damages due to wave inundation. This is quite unusual considering that the height of the wave that inundated Barangays Santiago and Zaragoza were both 1 meter (Figure 4).
During the field visit, it was seen that as the waves approach the shores of Bunga at about 100m from the shore, the wave height is about 20cm to about 50cm. But as it enters the concave-shaped coastline (Figure 5), the wave increase its size to almost double or triple as it breaks on the shore. Such increase in wave height is an indication of the presence of features that enhance the unusual wave height. The cause of the unusual height of the tsunami in this area is most probably due to one or combination of these features: the direction of the wave as it approaches the shore, submarine topography and the concave-shaped of the shore fronting Barangay Bunga.

In terms of earthquake intensity, Barangay Santiago, which is the northern barangay of Manay, recorded the highest earthquake intensity. However, based on tsunami time arrival, the earliest wave reached Zaragoza, Manay. Zaragoza is located about 20km south of the town proper. The tsunami arrived in this area within a minute after the strong ground shaking. Relative to Zaragoza, the tsunami waves arrived in the northern and southern coastal areas within 2-10 minutes after the intense ground shaking (Figure 6). Wave time arrivals in the southern area, however, have some variations much earlier particularly in Bobon and Panompon. Generally, the tsunami was preceded by lowering of sea water level from about 50-250m exposing corals and other submarine features. Unusually, Lupon residents noticed the unusual flood-like waves about 90 minutes after the quake. Furthermore, this coastal area remained flooded at about a meter higher than the usual. Thus, the residents had to relocate their houses about 50 meters inland (Figure 7).

Figure 5: Photo (looking ESE) showing the cove in Bunga, Manay.

Figure 6: Map showing how many minutes had lapsed between the ground shaking and the tsunami wave inundation along the eastern coast of Davao Oriental and Surigao del Sur during the 1992 quake.
Most observations showed that the wave related to the tsunami oscillated for several minutes or sometimes longer in some areas. Notably, the first wave was usually the biggest among the waves. The period of the tsunami wave was quite difficult to determine because of much variation in terms of the number of waves that attacked the areas investigated.

Regarding the effect or ability of tsunami to modify both regional and local geomorphology, the 1992 event caused very minor changes. Tsunami sediments were dumped in very few places. Most of these deposits were eroded or washed away by the waves of the same tsunami event or the succeeding big waves of typhoons and the usual high tides. The only place where the deposit is still available for future and further studies are in Barangay Central at Baganga, Barangay Sta. Fe at Caraga, Barangay Zaragoza at Manay and Barangay Bobon at Mati. Among these areas, the sediment left by the tsunami waves ranges from several centimeters up to about half a meter at Baganga area. The sediments are mostly composed of sand, pebbles and boulders of the same composition of the boulders currently found along the shore. Generally, tsunami inundation varied from several centimeters and reached as far as 200 meters inland wherein Bunga and Baganga suffered the worst where many houses and boats were totally destroyed and/or washed away into the open sea.

On the other hand, there was no area affected by erosion due to the passage of tsunami waves during the 1992 event. Although the TMHAP field team had no time to investigate any tsunami samples, it can be surmised from the eyewitnesses’ descriptions that the sediment were eroded locally by the waves. It can be deduced as well that the amount of sediment dumped by the tsunami wave is not significant enough to cause any noticeable local lowering of water level or increase in land elevation relative to the previous mean sea level. However, the observed subsidence at Lupon can be indicative of a subsidence since the areas affected by the rise of water level is quite extensive after the earthquake.

Among the coastal features observed, the coral reef located between 100-200m from the shore is the most significant. Residents noted that the tsunami wave of the 1992 earthquake broke as it encountered the reefs and decreased in height before it reached the shorelines of southwestern Mindanao. Without the coral reefs, the 1992 tsunami wave could have reached the shorelines with a much higher and in more destructive height.
Lastly, it was noted that based from the interviews, only one strong ground shaking was observed by the residents. Considering the magnitude and location of the two events at that time, we can somehow infer that the most probable event that was felt and caused the tsunami was the quake (1). Quake (2), being located further east and much deeper could have occurred without much shaking felt in the eastern part of Mindanao. Although Lander et al. (2003) indicated the quake (2) produced the minor tsunami in eastern Mindanao region but as shown in this paper some considerable damage was observed. Furthermore, much have to be done in terms of seismic analysis to confirm the above mentioned probabilities.

**Recommendations**

Based on the above observations, the following activities are suggested to be undertaken for the eastern coastal areas of Mindanao:

1. Trenching work or ogre sampling from tsunami deposits to get more information about the 1992 tsunami as well as the other possible previous tsunami events.
2. Review other big historical events in the area for future tsunami simulations and correlation with regional geodetic database.
3. Detailed study of morphological features along the eastern coast of Mindanao to identify possible areas that could generate unusually high tsunami waves for disaster mitigation efforts.
4. Closer analysis of seismic record to clearly explain why there was only one strong ground shaking felt and/or to identify which event, quakes (1) and (2), is tsunamigenic.
5. Further investigation of the subsidence near and around Lupon areas to define the extent of affected areas.

**Conclusions**

Based on field investigations and interviews, people observed the tsunami between 1-10 minutes after the strong ground shaking related to the 1992 event. The water level retreated to about 50-250m several minutes before the tsunami waves arrived. True wave height varies from several centimeters up to 6 meters in some areas. The most common observations showed that the wave related to the tsunami oscillated for several minutes and the first wave was usually the biggest among the waves. The unusual height at Bunga, Manay is probably the result of the combined effects of wave direction, submarine topography and shoreline shape of Bunga. Based on the overall true height of the tsunami, the average height of 1992 Manay tsunami is about a meter high.

Tsunami inundation varied from several centimeters and reached as far as 200 meters inland. There was no unusual uplift and/or subsidence observed in the eastern coast. However, an alleged subsidence was reported in Lupon that needs to be checked for its regional extent. During the above field visits, at least two places were identified for future stratigraphic logging or trenching of tsunami deposit. Existing coral reefs somehow played an important role in attenuating the tsunami heights, thus lessening its destructive effects.
Future activities to elucidate further the 1992 tsunamigenic event are recommended. Trenching of tsunami deposit, review of historical events, and detailed mapping of shoreline morphology would be very helpful. Furthermore, seismic analysis and simulations might explain some anomalous observations.
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Table 1: Observed height of tsunami heights and wave arrival time during the 1992 event in Mindanao, Philippines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Arrival Time (min)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Roque</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sta. Filomena</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cateel</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Victor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baganga</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baculin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sta. Fe</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caraga</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunga</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manay</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaragoza</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holy Cross</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinonoon</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jovellar</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taragona</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayo</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panompon</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bobon</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mati</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makambol</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupon</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gov. Generoso</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SHORELINE MODELING SEGMENTS IN THE HAWAIIAN ISLANDS CRITICAL FOR REGIONAL TSUNAMI EVACUATION DETERMINATIONS

Daniel A. Walker
Tsunami Memorial Institute
59-530 Pupukea Rd.
Haleiwa, HI., U.S.A.

SUMMARY

Historical data is used to determine those sections of shorelines in the Hawaiian Islands most likely to have the largest runup values for Pacific-wide tsunamis. Accurate modeling of these sections of shorelines are essential for regional evacuation determinations for small tsunamis. Potentially important areas are identified for each of the major Hawaiian Islands. For tsunamis originating in the North Pacific (i.e., the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska portion of the circum-Pacific arc), the most critical areas appear to be the shorelines around Haena, Kaena Point, Haleiwa, Waimea, Kahakuloa, Kahului, Pololu, Waipio, and Hilo. Other coastal areas having large runups are identified. For tsunamis originating elsewhere along the circum-Pacific arc, more data is needed for a comprehensive determination of critical shoreline areas. However, for tsunamis originating in South America, available runups indicate that Haleiwa, Kahului, and Hilo may have some of the largest values on their respective islands and that some of the largest values on Kauai may be found along its northern and southern shores. For tsunamis originating in the Western Pacific, some of the largest values may be found in the Kailua-Kona area of the Big Island.

INTRODUCTION

In an earlier paper (Walker, 2004), historical runup data indicated that regional warnings, rather than statewide warnings, would be appropriate for small tsunamis from the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska portion of the circum-Pacific arc. The historical data indicated that runups on northern shores were generally at least twice as large as runups in many other coastal areas. Thus, if modeling of maximum runups for those northern shores predicted runups of less than 2 meters, runups in many other coastal areas should be less than 1 meter - 1 meter being the generally accepted threshold for a dangerous tsunami. Possible evacuation zones and non-evacuation zones for small tsunamis from the North Pacific were identified for each island. In this report historical data is further examined to determine specifically those sections of shorelines most likely to have maximum runups for tsunamis from the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska portion of the Pacific Rim, thereby substantially reducing the extent of coastal areas that would have to be modeled for regional warning determinations. Maps showing the historical runup data, on which this report is based, may be found in Walker (2004). In addition, consideration is given to potentially important shoreline areas for tsunamis generated in other portions of the circum-Pacific arc.

ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL RUNUP DATA

KAUAI

For 1946 the largest values were found in the Haena area (one of 45 feet and three others at or in excess of 30 feet), Kilauea Point (around 45 feet), Moloaa Bay (45 feet), and south of Hanamaulu Bay (40 feet). For 1952 there are no specific reported runup values, but damage was reported along the north shore (Lander and Lockridge, 1989). For 1957 the largest values were in the Haena area (six in excess of 20 feet) with the largest value of 53 feet near Lumahai Beach. For 1964 the largest values are again in the Haena area (one at 6 feet and another reported at less than 10 feet). Based on these data the shoreline section most likely to have the largest runups from the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska regions is the north shore of Kauai from Kee Beach west of Haena to the western edge of Hanalei Bay. Values for this shoreline section are at least twice the values for the non-evacuation zones identified earlier (Walker, 2004). Also of interest is Moloaa Bay at the northeast corner of the island. This small bay had runups comparable to some of the largest values reported in the Haena area for the '46, '57, and '64 tsunamis. Other areas of possible interest are Kilauea Point and south of Hanamaula Bay.

OAHU

For 1946 the largest values (five in excess of 30 feet) are at or near Kaena Point (four of the five; the largest being 35 feet) and at Makapuu (37 feet; possibly due in part to splash effects on the steep cliffs). For 1952 the largest values are in the Haleiwa area (four of 15 feet or more; the largest being 20 feet). For 1957 the largest values are in the Kaena Point area (three of 22 feet or more; the largest being 30 feet). For 1964 the largest values are in the Haleiwa (three of 10 feet and one of 15 feet) and at Waimea Bay (16 feet). Based on these data the shoreline sections most likely to have the largest runups from
the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska regions are the Kaena Point, Haleiwa, and possibly, Waimea Bay areas on Oahu. Values for the Kaena Point and Haleiwa areas are at least twice the values for the non-evacuation zones identified earlier (Walker, 2004). Other areas with large values are Sunset Beach and Kahuku.

MOLOKAI, LANAI, NIIHAU, AND KAOHOLawe

Although '46 data is available for Molokai (many values), Lanai (two values) and Niihau (one value), specific shoreline sections can not be properly identified because of the absence of sufficient data for the '52, '57, and '64 tsunamis [Walker (2004) and Lander and Lockridge (1989)]. For Molokai, Lanai, Niihau, and Kahoolawe, values for '52 and '64 were not reported; and the only values reported for these islands for '57 are single data points for Molokai and Niihau.

MAUI

For 1946 the largest values are in the Napili Bay to Maliko Bay area (17 values of 20 feet or more; the largest being 33 feet at Kahakuloa). For 1952 there are no specific reported runup values, but damage was reported in the Kahului area. For 1957 the largest values were in the Waihee through Kahului to Maliko Bay areas (15, 16, 16, and 17 feet). For 1964 the largest values were all in the Kahului area (11, 12, and 12 feet). Based on these data the shoreline sections most likely to have the largest runups from the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska regions are from Napili Bay to Maliko Bay. Modeling only the Kahului area from Waihee to Spreckelsville may be adequate for determining regional warning criteria. With one exception, values for the Kahului area are at least twice the values for the non-evacuation zones identified earlier (Walker, 2004). The exception is for 1957 when values of 9 and 17 feet were found for the Kealia Pond and Waihee areas, respectively. The 17 feet at Waihee is the largest value on Maui for this tsunami and is in the "greater" Kahului area.

Hawaii. For 1946 many of the largest values are in the Hilo Bay area from Honolii Cove to Leleiwi Point (7 values of 28 feet or more). The largest values on the island are at Waipio (40 feet) and Pololu (55 feet). Other large values (30 to 38 feet) can be found along the Hamakua Coast. For 1952 the largest values (11, 11, and 12 feet) are in the Hilo area. For 1957 many large values (3 at 13 feet and 2 at 14 feet) are again in the Hilo area. The largest values on the island are at Waipio (26 feet) and Pololu (32 feet). For 1964 the largest reading (10 feet) was in Hilo Bay. Based on these data the shoreline sections most likely to have the largest runups from the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska regions are the Hilo Bay, Waipio Valley, and Pololu Valley areas. Modeling only the Hilo Bay area may be adequate for determining regional warning criteria. Values for this shoreline section are at least twice the values for the non-evacuation zones identified earlier (Walker, 2004).
OTHER TSUNAMIS

The 1960 Chilean earthquake produced the only other tsunami with a large number of runup values for the major Hawaiian Islands. [There are no values for 1960 for Molokai, Lanai, Niihau, or Kahoolawe.] Largest values on Kauai are in the Haena to Hanalei area (as large as 13.5 feet) and the Pakala Point to Wahiawa Bay area (as large as 14 feet). Largest values on Oahu are south of Kaena Point (12 feet), Waialua Bay (12 feet), Waimea Bay (11 feet), and north of Makaha (11 feet). Largest values on Maui are 17, 15, 14, 13, and 13 feet in the Waihee to Spreckelsville area. The largest value on the Big Island was 35 feet in the Hilo area. Most of the values for the 1896 and 1933 Sanriku Japan tsunamis are reported on the Big Island with the largest values in the Napoopoo to Keahou areas of the Kona coast (18 feet in 1896 and 10 feet in 1933).

CONCLUSIONS

Shoreline regions with expected highest runups for tsunamis originating in the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska areas are summarized in Table 1. For Kauai the shoreline area from Kee Beach west of Haena to the western edge of Hanalei Bay may be adequate for determining regional warning criteria for that island. The largest values for this shoreline area have been at least twice the values for the non-evacuation zones identified earlier from Kawelikoa Point through Kekaha Beach Park (Walker, 2004). For Oahu the largest values for the Kaena Point, Haleiwa, and Waimea areas are at least twice the values along the Windward Coast from Chinaman’s Hat to Waimanalo, excluding the shorelines of the Marine Corps Air Station, and along the south shore from Hawaii Kai extending just to the west of Ewa Beach (through Oneula Beach), excluding the shoreline around Diamond Head. For Maui the largest values for the Waihee to Spreckelsville areas are, with one slight exception, at least twice the values for the shorelines north of La Perouse Bay (i.e., Makena, Wailea, and Kihei) west through Maalaea and Olowalu, and on to the north of Lahaina (Puunoa Point). The exception is for 1957 when values of 9 and 17 feet were found for the Kealia Pond and Waihee areas, respectively. The largest value for ‘46 is at Kahakuloa. For the Big Island the largest values for the Hilo Bay area are at least twice the values for areas west of Kaimu along the Puna and Kau coastlines, with the exception of South Point, and up through the Kona and Kohala coasts just past Kawaihae. The largest values for ‘46 and ‘57 are at Pololu and Waipio. In the absence of data on Molokai and Lanai for ‘52, ‘57, and ‘64, the ‘46 data suggests that if regional evacuations were indicated as possible for Kauai, Oahu, and the Big Island in some future tsunami, Molokai shorelines from Waialua through Kaunakakai and Coconut Grove, as well as Lanai shorelines at Kaumalapau Harbor and Manele Bay, could be excluded from evacuations (see Walker, 2004). To examine the resolution of modeling efforts and to compare predicted tsunami wave heights in the ocean to actual adjacent shoreline runup values for tsunamis from the North Pacific, the following additional shoreline areas may be of interest: Kilauea Point, Moloaa Bay, and south of Hanamaulu Bay (Kauai); Sunset Beach and Kahanu (Oahu); Napili, Honokohau, and Maliko (Maui); and other sites along the Hamakua Coast (Hawaii). For tsunamis originating elsewhere in the Pacific, shoreline areas of interest in addition to those already cited might be Pakala Point to Wahiawa Bay on Kauai and the Napoopoo to Keahou area of the Big Island.
TABLE 1

Shoreline Regions with the Highest Runups for Tsunamis from the Kamchatka, Aleutian, and Alaska Regions of the Circum-Pacific Arc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Island</th>
<th>Largest Runups</th>
<th>Very Large Runups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kauai</td>
<td>Kee to Hanalei</td>
<td>Kilauea, Moloaa, Hanamaulu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oahu</td>
<td>Kaena, Haleiwa, Waimea</td>
<td>Sunset Beach, Kahuku</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maui</td>
<td>Kahakuloa, Waihee to Spreckelsville</td>
<td>Napili, Honokohau, Maliko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Pololu, Waipio, Hilo</td>
<td>Hamakua Coast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data is insufficient for identifying shorelines on Molokai, Lanai, Ni’ihau, and Kahoolawe
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VOLCANIC TSUNAMI GENERATING SOURCE MECHANISMS IN THE EASTERN CARIBBEAN REGION
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ABSTRACT

Earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, volcanic island flank failures and underwater slides have generated numerous destructive tsunamis in the Caribbean region. Convergent, compressional and collisional tectonic activity caused primarily from the eastward movement of the Caribbean Plate in relation to the North American, Atlantic and South American Plates, is responsible for zones of subduction in the region, the formation of island arcs and the evolution of particular volcanic centers on the overlying plate. The inter-plate tectonic interaction and deformation along these marginal boundaries result in moderate seismic and volcanic events that can generate tsunamis by a number of different mechanisms. The active geo-dynamic processes have created the Lesser Antilles, an arc of small islands with volcanoes characterized by both effusive and explosive activity. Eruption mechanisms of these Caribbean volcanoes are complex and often anomalous. Collapses of lava domes often precede major eruptions, which may vary in intensity from Strombolian to Plinian. Locally catastrophic, short-period tsunami-like waves can be generated directly by lateral, direct or channelized volcanic blast episodes, or in combination with collateral air pressure perturbations, nuées ardentes, pyroclastic flows, lahars, or cascading debris avalanches. Submarine volcanic caldera collapses can also generate locally destructive tsunami waves. Volcanoes in the Eastern Caribbean Region have unstable flanks. Destructive local tsunamis may be generated from aerial and submarine volcanic edifice mass edifice flank failures, which may be triggered by volcanic episodes, lava dome collapses, or simply by gravitational instabilities. The present report evaluates volcanic mechanisms, resulting flank failure processes and their potential for tsunami generation. More specifically, the report evaluates recent volcanic eruption mechanisms of the Soufriere Hills volcano on Montserrat, of Mt. Peléé on Martinique, of Soufriere on St. Vincent and of the Kick’em Jenny underwater volcano near Grenada and provides an overall risk assessment of tsunami generation from volcanic sources in the Caribbean region.
INTRODUCTION

Tectonic deformation and active geo-dynamic processes in the Caribbean region have produced distinct seismic and volcanic activity sources capable of generating destructive tsunamis. The historic record documents numerous events in this region for the last 400 years (Lander et al. 2002, 2003; ETDB/ATL: Expert Tsunami Database for the Atlantic, 2002). The list includes tsunamis from distant sources, such as that generated by the 1755 Lisbon earthquake. Eighty-eight tsunamis from local and distant sources have been reported for the 1489 to 1998 time period. Several of these were generated by volcanic eruptions and by collateral volcanic flank failures, debris avalanches, and landslides. A rough evaluation of the cumulative frequency of tsunamis was done specifically for the Barbados and Antigua (Zahibo and Pelinovsky, 2001).

Additionally, recently found submarine debris avalanches on the sea floor around many islands in the Lesser Antilles suggest that large scale landslides and volcanic island flank collapses must have generated tsunamis in the distant past (Deplus et al. 2001). Also, extremely large paleotsunami activity has been postulated for the Southern region of the Leeward Lesser Antilles, consisting of the islands of Aruba, Curacao and Bonaire (Scheffers, 2002) within the tectonically active Caribbean - South American plate boundary zone and the West Indies Island Arc. However, it remains to be determined whether the extremely large boulders and rocks found on these islands, some at high elevations, are indeed deposits from paleotsunamis - as speculated – and what the source regions may have been.

There have been several numerical modeling studies of tsunamis in the Caribbean and tsunami travel time charts for the region have been prepared (Weissert, 1990). Additionally, historical
tsunamis of seismic origin have been extensively documented and numerically simulated. Some of the best-studied historic events are the 1867 Virgin Island earthquake and tsunami (Devill, 1867; Reid and Taber, 1920; Zahibo et al 2003), and the 1918 tsunami in Puerto Rico (Mercado and McCann, 1998). The heights of tsunami waves from distant sources – such as those generated by the 1755 Lisbon earthquake or from a postulated, massive landslide on La Palma, Canary Islands, have been estimated with numerical models (Mader, 2001a, 2001b). The threat of mega tsunami generation from the same postulated massive slope failure of the Cumbre Vieja stratovolcano on La Palma and the far field effects in the Eastern Atlantic from such an unlikely event, have been assessed (Pararas-Carayannis, 2002).

The flank instabilities of the island volcanoes in the Lesser Antilles are well known and adequately documented (Le Friant, 2001). In the last decade, tsunamis generated by landslides and flank failures that followed eruptions of the Soufriere Hills volcano on Montserrat Island and an increased level of activity of the submarine volcano known as Kick'em Jenny, north of Grenada, have raised concerns about the generation of destructive tsunamis from these and other volcanic sources in the region. Because of such concerns, water waves generated by potential debris avalanches and landslides on Montserrat were numerically simulated and evaluated (Heinrich et al., 1998, 1999a,b, 2001; Mangeney et al., 2000; Zahibo and Pelinovsky, 2001). Similarly, a gravitational dome collapse of the Soufriere Hills volcano on Montserrat Island and the resulting pyroclastic flows that could generate tsunamis were modeled (Hooper and Mattioli, 2001). Finally, numerical simulations of Kick'em Jenny’s explosions were completed (Gisler et al 2003, 2004).

**Scope of Present Study:** The present evaluation extends these investigations by reviewing primarily the eruption mechanisms of some of the more active volcanoes of the Eastern Caribbean Region and the factors that contribute to their instabilities, massive flank failures, and tsunami generation. Active tectonics, subduction, block movements inferred from tectonic studies, seismological and geodetic data, and the evolution, geochemistry and eruption mechanisms of particular volcanic centers are reviewed for the purpose of evaluating volcanic explosivity factors – in general - and potential collateral mass edifice failures – in particular - that may result in tsunami generation. Specifically reviewed are historical eruptions and flank collapse events of Soufriere Hills on Montserrat, of Mt. Pelée on Martinique, of Soufriere on St. Vincent, and of Kick’em Jenny near Grenada. Based on an analysis of the eruptive and mass failure mechanisms of these volcanoes, the risk of tsunami generation, from such sources, is evaluated for the entire Eastern Caribbean Region. Finally, through analogies and comparisons of differences and similarities of volcanic compositions and flank failure mechanisms, conclusions are drawn about the tsunamigenic efficiency of such processes, and the near and far field effects of tsunami waves generated by historical events, or that can be expected in the future from postulated massive edifice flank collapses of other volcanoes in the Caribbean region and around the world.
TECTONIC SETTING – DEVELOPMENT OF VOLCANIC CENTERS IN THE EASTERN CARIBBEAN REGION

Understanding tsunami generation mechanisms from volcanic sources in the Caribbean requires a brief review of the tectonic setting of the region and of the development of volcanic centers. The present review focuses on the presently volcanically active Eastern region, where most of the recent tsunamis of volcanic origin have occurred.

The Caribbean plate forms part of a region of great geologic and geographic diversity. It extends from southern N. America to northern S. America. It includes Central America, thousands of islands, and the oceanic areas in between.

Geologically, the Caribbean has undergone many changes during its complex evolution (AAPG, 2003). There have been plate migrations, hotspot and mantle plume activity, island arc development and disappearance, subduction reversals, opening of young oceanic basins, major plate migration, and major block rotations. The Caribbean Plate is largely oceanic, although it carries large continental fragments in its western region.

Currently, the Caribbean plate is moving eastward in relation to the North and South American and the Atlantic Plates at a rate of approximately 20 millimeters per year. The plate movement is responsible for the zones of subduction along the active boundaries and the formation of the West Indies Volcanic Island Arc on the overlying plate in the eastern region. These interactions lead to a moderate level of inter-plate seismicity and interplate and intraplate volcanic activity.

Presently, the Caribbean region is characterized by convergent, compressional and collisional tectonic activity, which results in frequent occurrences of earthquakes and volcanic eruptions. Often, localized landslides and volcanic island mass edifice failures are collaterally triggered. Most of these
events occur near or along the geotectonically active plate boundaries and can generate local tsunamis with complex mechanisms, which represent the characteristics of each particular source. Seismic events in the Eastern Caribbean are principally associated with a subduction zone along a north-south line just east of the main island arc where the Atlantic Plate dips from east to west beneath the Caribbean Plate. The evaluation of the active seismic centers and the tsunamigenic efficiency of subduction earthquakes in the Caribbean region will be presented in a separate report.

Fig. 4. Eastern Caribbean Subduction and Volcanic Island-Arc Mechanism (modified web graphic)

Fig. 5. Development of tensional volcanic fore-arc and back-arc by frontal subduction of the Atlantic plate

**Evolution of Volcanic Centers in the Eastern Caribbean Region:** Volcanic centers in the region are the by-product of active tectonic activity. Subduction is responsible for the evolution of the Lesser Antilles, an arc of small islands with active volcanoes characterized by both effusive and explosive activity (Martin-Kaye 1969). Specifically, the down-dip compression on the Atlantic plate caused by tectonic movement has created the tensional volcanic back-arc, which is characterized by spreading and shallower seismic activity. As the fore-arc is driven by the mantle drag toward a trench – the zone of subduction - the resulting compression is balanced with the slab pull. This flow in the mantle causes the back-arc spreading (Seno and Yamanaka, 1998). Arc stresses and back-arc spreading result in increased volcanic activity in the region and in a greater potential for tsunami generation from subsequent volcanic mass edifice failures, and other mechanisms, which will be discussed and evaluated further in subsequent sections.

![Fig. 6. Evolution of Island-Arc volcano (modified web graphic)](image)

RECENT TSUNAMIS OF VOLCANIC ORIGIN IN THE LESSER ANTILLES REGION

In recent times, Soufriere Hills on Montserrat, Kick'em Jenny near Grenada, Soufriere of St. Vincent, and Mt. Pelée on Martinique, are volcanoes in the Lesser Antilles region that have generated local tsunamis by renewed volcanic activity and associated flank failures and landslides (Lander et al 2002). Given the degree of violent volcanic activity and the flank instabilities of stratovolcanoes in the region, it is believed that the occurrences of tsunami waves have been under-reported in historical records, probably because the effects of such sea level disturbances were either localized or were overshadowed by greater catastrophes caused by violent volcanic eruptions. The following is a brief overview of some of the reported historical tsunami events.

Montserrat Island Tsunamis

The recent historic record documents several tsunamis at Montserrat Island. Earthquakes in the area generated some of these, while others were generated by pyroclastic flows of the Soufriere Hills stratovolcano, by debris avalanches, and by major flank failures and landslides. Also, the coastal geomorphology of the eastern part of Montserrat near the Chance Peak of the Soufriere Hills volcano indicates that massive landslides must have generated local tsunamis in the distant past. According to the more recent historic record, an earthquake in the region on September 13, 1824, resulted in a remarkable rise and fall of sea level at Plymouth. Another major earthquake near Antigua, reportedly triggered landslides into the sea in Antigua, Montserrat and Nevis Islands. However, most of the noteworthy tsunamis were generated recently as a result of renewed activity of the Soufriere Hills volcano.

Fig. 7. Debris avalanches and pyroclastic (lava) flows associated with the 1999 eruption of the Soufriere Hills volcano on the island of Montserrat reached the sea and generated a tsunami. Photo of landslide scar (Montserrat Volcanic Observatory).
On December 26, 1997, following a major eruption of Soufriere Hills a landslide - assisted by pyroclastic flows - reached the sea along the southwestern coast of the island and generated significant tsunami waves. (Heinrich et al., 1998, 1999a,b, 2001). Maximum runup of the waves, about ten kilometers away from the source region, was about 3m with inland inundation of about 80 meters. Similar debris avalanches and pyroclastic flows associated with a 1999 eruption of Soufriere Hills reached the sea and generated another local tsunami. The height of the waves in the immediate area ranged from1–2m but attenuated rapidly. By the time they reached the islands of Guadeloupe and Antigua the maximum runup heights were only about 50 cm. The most recent tsunami occurred on July 12, 2003, following a major collapse of a lava dome (Pelinovsky et al 2004). A pyroclastic flow reached the sea and generated a tsunami, which was reported to be about 4 meters on Montserrat and about 0.5-1 m at Guadeloupe.

Fig. 7. Travel time chart of the tsunami generated by the 1999 debris avalanche at Montserrat Island.

**Martinique Island Tsunamis**

Mt Pelée on Martinique is another active stratovolcano with unstable flanks composed primarily of pyroclastic rocks. As such, it must have generated numerous tsunamis in the distant geologic past. The first reported violent eruption of Mt Pelée occurred in 1792. The record does not indicate whether the eruptions caused flank failures on the island or generated tsunamis. Such events could have occurred but not been reported. Even the recent historic record is unclear. For example, there are reports of observed sea level agitations on Martinique in 1767, but it is not known whether these were tsunami waves generated by a distant earthquake or an island flank failure. On 30 November 1823 an earthquake in the area generated a tsunami, which caused damage to St. Pierre Harbor. In 1824, another earthquake near St. Pierre was probably responsible for a very “high tide” that reportedly grounded several ships in the harbor.

In the spring of 1902, Mt Pelée began erupting again. According to historic records, as the summit eruptions intensified, the water of the Etang Sec crater lake heated to near boiling point. On May 5,
the crater rim broke, and extremely hot water cascaded down River Blanche. The hot water, mixed with loose pyroclastic debris and mud, formed a massive 35-meter high lahar that reached a speed of about 100 kilometers per hour. The hot volcanic mudflow buried everything in its path. Near the mouth of River Blanche, north of St. Pierre, it hit a rum distillery and killed 23 workers. The lahar continued into the sea, where it generated 4-5 meter tsunami waves, which flooded the low-lying areas along the waterfront of St. Pierre. Subsequently, on May 8, 1902, a catastrophic nuée ardente cascaded for about 6 km down-slope from the central crater of the volcano, at a velocity of more than 140 Km per hour, completely destroying St. Pierre, and killing 29,000 of its inhabitants. According to the historic record there were only two survivors – one in a prison dungeon. There is not much information on the tsunami that the nuée ardente must have generated, as the immensity of St. Pierre’s destruction overshadowed everything else.

Fig. 8. Mt. Pelée’s eruption of May 8, 1902 killed 29,000 people and destroyed the city of St. Pierre. Local destructive tsunamis were triggered by a lahar, a nuée ardente and by flank failures. (Photograph by Heilprin. taken on May 26, 1902)

St. Vincent Island Tsunamis

There is not much information about tsunamis generated from eruptions or flank failures of the Soufriere volcano on St. Vincent Island, although several must have occurred. The historic record shows that the volcano erupted violently in 1718, 1812, 1902, 1971-1972 and in 1979. The 1902 eruption was the most catastrophic and killed 1,600 people. The record shows that, on May 7, 1902, a
day before the most violent eruption of Mt Pelée on Martinique, tsunami-like disturbances of up to 1 meter were reported for the harbors of Grenada, Barbados and Saint Lucia. Although the origin of these waves is not known with certainty, the most likely source could have been pyroclastic flows reaching the sea from the violent eruption of Soufriere volcano on St. Vincent. Alternatively, the sea level disturbances could have been generated by a most likely unreported flank failure of Mt Pelée, which was also erupting at that time. The historic record documents that on May 7, 1902 the submarine communication cables from Martinique to the outside world were cut.

Grenada Island Tsunamis

Kick'em Jenny is an active submarine volcano about 8 km off the North side of the island of Grenada, which erupted frequently during the 20th Century (Smithsonian Institution, 1999). There have been several local tsunamis generated by these eruptions. The volcano’s first recorded eruption reportedly occurred in 1939, but undoubtedly there were many unreported occurrences before that date. Since 1939 there have been at least ten more eruptions. The better known are those that occurred in 1943, 1953, 1965, 1966, 1972 and 1974. The 1974 eruption was major. The last known major eruption occurred in 1990.

Fig. 9. Volcanoes of Grenada (USGS graphic)
The 1939 and 1974 eruptions ejected columns above the sea surface. At the peak of the July 24, 1939 eruption - which lasted more than 24 hours - a cloud rose 275 meters above the sea surface (Tilling, 1985; Seismic Research Unit Website, Univ. of West Indies, 2001). The event was witnessed by a large number of people in northern Grenada. Kick'em Jenny’s 1939 eruption also generated a series of tsunami-like waves, which had amplitudes of about 2 meters in northern Grenada and the southern Grenadines. The waves probably reached the west coast of the Barbados, but were not noticed as their heights had attenuated significantly.

MECHANISMS OF TSUNAMI GENERATION FROM VOLCANIC SOURCES

Based on what appear to be debris avalanches or toes of large scale landslides on the ocean floor, it has been postulated that mega-tsunamis were generated in the distant geologic past by massive volcanic flank failures in the Canary, Cape Verde and Hawaiian islands, as well as elsewhere in the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian oceans. Pararas-Carayannis (1992, 2002, 2003) evaluated mega-tsunami generation from prehistoric and postulated massive landslides and flank failures of oceanic basalt shield stratovolcanoes such as Kilauea, Mauna Loa, Cumbre Vieja, Cumbre Nueva, Taburiente and Piton De La Fournaise, and from the explosions/collapses of continental stratovolcanoes linked to catastrophic phreatomagmatic episodes of Plinian and Ultra-Plinian intensities, such as those that occurred at Krakatau and Santorin. Some volcanic source mechanisms of tsunami generation were realistically modeled in estimating the near and far field wave characteristics (Mader, 2001; Le Friant, 2001; Gisler 2004). However, there has not been adequate evaluation of tsunami generation from eruptions and flank failures of unstable basalt/andesitic Caribbean volcanoes, which have different geochemistry and eruption styles and processes.

Tsunami Generation Mechanisms of Shield Volcanoes – Oceanic, basalt shield volcanoes have different styles of eruption, thus their mechanisms of flank failures and of tsunami generation differ from those of volcanoes along continental boundaries. Most of the basaltic stratovolcanoes have Hawaiian styles of eruptions, which usually involve less explosivity, and passive lava flows because of lower silica, gas content and ejecta viscosity. Occasional sudden gas releases may produce explosive lava fountains and unstable pyroclastic deposits. Small scale hydromagmatic explosions can also occur near the coast. Examples would be those that formed the Diamond Head and Coco Head craters on the island of Oahu, in Hawaii. However, most of the eruptions of shield volcanoes are usually confined near summit calderas or along flank craters and vents. Resulting slides from unconsolidated pyroclastics usually involve relatively small volumes of material, which rarely reach the sea to generate waves of any consequence. However, destructive tsunamis can be generated from massive volcanic edifice failures of larger blocks which may be triggered by large scale magmatic chamber collapses, erosion, gaseous pressure, phreatomagmatic and forced dike injection, or by isostatic and gravity induced kinematic changes (Pararas-Carayannis 2002). Any of these processes can trigger large volcanic mass failures of shield volcanoes, alone or in combination with other mechanisms.
Tsunami Generation Mechanisms of Caribbean Volcanoes - There is a plethora of geologic evidence indicating that volcanoes in the Caribbean region have generated tsunamis by a variety of mechanisms, recently and within the last 100,000 years. Destructive tsunami waves were generated by violent sub-aerial and submarine eruptions and accompanying earthquakes, by caldera and submarine flank collapses, by subsidences, by atmospheric pressure waves, by lahars, nuées ardentes, pyroclastic flows and debris avalanches. Also, tsunamis must have been generated from gravitational mass edifice failures due to the characteristic flank instabilities of the volcanoes in this region - even in the absence of obvious triggering events. For example, earth tides could have triggered such failures.

Evaluation of flank instabilities of Caribbean stratovolcanoes and their potential for tsunami generation requires a closer examination of the styles, intensity and geometry of eruption mechanisms, of precursor events, of the time history of volcanic episodes, of the geochemistry and composition of the lava and ejecta, as well as an assessment of tectonic processes in the region which result in volcanic arc stresses, back-arc spreading and an increased level of volcanic activity. Small scale flank collapses which result in tsunami generation are a standard phase in the evolution cycles of Caribbean volcanoes (Young 2004.). The following is a review of different factors that contribute to eruptions episodes of Caribbean volcanoes, which may range in style and intensity from Strombolian to Vulcanian/Plinian, but are not as catastrophic as the Plinian and Ultra-Plinian episodes of the Krakatoan/Santorin variety. Specifically reviewed in the next section are precursor events and eruption processes of some of the previously mentioned active volcanoes of the Lesser Antilles – processes that result in moderate flank failures and the generation of tsunamis or tsunami-like waves. Although the present analysis focuses on mechanisms of flank failures of active Caribbean stratovolcanoes, it should be pointed out that the processes and mechanisms that are described are similar to those occurring at many other volcanoes around the world. Furthermore, it should be pointed out that, in contrast to tsunami generation from seismic sources which cannot be predicted, the generation of tsunamis from volcanic sources can be forecast with proper monitoring of precursor events, of volcanic activity and of flank instabilities. The following sections provide an analysis of factors that contribute directly to eruptions, flank instabilities and failures of Caribbean volcanoes and, indirectly, to the generation of destructive sea waves.

FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO VOLCANIC EXPLOSIVITY, STRUCTURAL FLANK INSTABILITIES, MASS EDIFICE FAILURES, DEBRIS AVALANCHES AND TSUNAMI GENERATION IN THE CARIBBEAN REGION

Tsunamis can be generated by volcanic caldera and lava dome collapses, by vertical, lateral or channelized explosive activity and by the associated atmospheric pressure perturbations, pyroclastic flows, lahars, debris avalanches or massive volcanic edifice failures. Contributing tectonic factors include island arc volcanism that overlies a subduction zone and which can result in the most catastrophic types of eruptions. Many additional specific factors determine the eruption style, higher explosivity, the generation of pyroclastic flows, the structural flank instabilities, the slope failures and the debris avalanches that characterize the mainly andesitic Caribbean volcanoes. The following is a description of these factors for the most active volcanoes in the Caribbean region. However, the same
factors apply to all the basaltic/andesitic volcanoes around the world that border tectonic boundaries.

**Geochemical Factors**

Whether a volcano will have effusive eruptive activity or explosive type of bursts will depend primarily on geochemical factors. The build up of pressure of volatile gases within the magmatic chambers determines a volcano’s eruption style, explosivity, flank instability and potential for subsequent tsunami generation.

Variations in the chemical composition of volcanic eruptive effluents result from differences in the mineralogy and the bulk composition of mantle material, differences in depth at which melting occurs, differences in percentages of melting at the source, and alterations in composition as the magma rises to the surface (Wright and Helz, 1987). The chemical composition of molten rocks in the magmatic chambers of a volcano and the different abundances of elements, particularly silica, determine the viscosity of effluents that can rise to the surface. Magmas, which are low in silica and rich in iron and magnesium, like the basalts of Hawaiian types of volcanoes, are very fluid. Basalt contains anywhere from 45% to 54% silica, and generally is rich in iron and magnesium. Dissolved gases escape prior to an eruption, thus resulting in a subsequent effusive eruptive activity associated with relatively gentle lava flows. Because of the low viscosity, lava flows travel great distances from the eruptive vents, and produce broad, shield-shaped volcanoes. Kilauea, in Hawaii, is an example of such a volcano.

By contrast, the chambers of stratovolcanoes along continental margins contain magma which is composed primarily of andesite or dacite, that are relatively high in silica and low or moderate in iron and magnesium. Andesite may contain anywhere from 54 to 62 percent silica. Thus magma material tends to be very viscous. Gases are trapped and cannot escape until the magma enters the volcanic conduits leading to the surface. The reduction in pressure allows the gas bubbles in the magma material to nucleate and expand. When the outward pressure exerted by the gas bubbles exceeds the strength of the lava material above it, the volcano erupts violently. Because of the high pressure of the expanding volatiles, the lava is fragmented to produce volcanic ash and pyroclastics that are ejected out of the volcanic vent at high velocity. Most of the ash travels far but pyroclastics accumulate near the vent, thus producing a steep-sided stratovolcano with very unstable flanks. An example of such a volcano is Mount St. Helens in the State of Washington.

The volcanoes of the Lesser Antilles in the Caribbean region are mainly andesitic stratovolcanoes, characterized by both effusive and explosive activity (Brown et al. 1977). However, even effusive activity may culminate into explosive activity at later stages of an eruption, as the more viscous magma material reaches the surface. Explosive eruptions of Caribbean volcanoes may last for hours and will result in greater volcanic cone instabilities. Intensities and types of explosive episodes may range from Strombolian, Vulcanian to even Vulcanian/Plinian. During such eruptions, destructive sea waves may be generated directly by pyroclastic flows, lahars and nuées ardentes reaching the sea, and by atmospheric pressure waves of blast events. Debris avalanches and massive volcanic flank failures can also generate destructive waves during an eruption or subsequently.
Growth and Collapses of Lava Domes

As a result of the geochemistry and the higher viscosity of the mainly andesitic magma, Caribbean volcanic activity often results in the formation and growth of lava domes near a volcano’s summit or along its flanks (Voight, 2000). Rapid lava dome growth, after a quiet volcanic activity period, is indicative that pressure is building up within a volcano. Subsequent collapses of lava domes often trigger a flurry of volcanic eruptions which will vary in intensity and may be associated with catastrophic pyroclastic flows, lahars, debris avalanches and large scale flank failures – which can generate directly destructive local tsunamis. In fact the collapse of a growing lava dome has the potential of generating directly destructive pyroclastic flows, lahars or debris avalanches, which, upon reaching the sea, can generate destructive local tsunamis. Indirectly, such volcanic processes may weaken the flanks of the volcano, thus flank failures may be retrogressive and time-dependent. Thus, lava dome growth, chemical and geometric factors, and the mechanisms of weakening that result in eventual collapses, are important factors in assessing a volcano’s overall instability and in forecasting a major eruption or even the generation of a tsunami.

Volcanic Explosivity Factors

As already indicated, the sudden releases of gases are responsible for a volcano’s explosivity and flank instability and thus trigger flank failures which can generate destructive tsunami waves. Additionally, the direct explosive outflux of volcanic volatiles can create sudden atmospheric pressure disturbances which, coupled with the sea surface, can also generate destructive waves.

Significant atmospheric pressure perturbations that are propagated by buoyancy forces during violent volcanic eruptions can have periods greater than 270 seconds (Beer, 1974). The periods of the atmospheric waves is dependent on the volumes of volatiles and the duration of volcanic bursts. For example, spasmodic volcanic bursts of Caribbean volcanoes during a violent eruption may produce extremely large movements of air parcels similar to those produced by the 1980 Mount St. Helens eruption (Mikumo and Bolt, 1985; Tilling 1984; Tilling, et al 1990) or by the 1992 Pinatubo eruption (Tahira et al., 1996). Eruptive phases consisting of numerous eruptive pulses may last from a few hours to days. Explosive pulses may last from a few seconds to minutes and may vary in intensity depending on the impulsivity of the degassing source (Newhall & Self, 1982). Such volcanically generated atmospheric pressure waves can generate several destructive tsunami-like waves of longer periods with heights which will not attenuate as rapidly as those from other wave generation mechanisms.

Blast Geometry Factors

Additionally, the geometry of eruption blasts is a factor that will also determine a volcano’s flank instability and its potential for massive failures, avalanches or subareal or submarine landslides and subsequent tsunami generation. Generally, volcanic blasts mechanisms can be vertical, lateral-direct or channelized. If the blasts directly affect a body of water, then more destructive local tsunamis can be expected.
**Vertical Blast Mechanisms** - More typical for Caribbean volcanoes are the vertical type of blast mechanisms, which will deposit unconsolidated pyroclastic debris and build up cones with unstable flanks. Gravity forces may eventually lead to cone collapse or other failures, which in turn may trigger lahars, larger flank landslides or debris avalanches, usually on the steep-sided stratovolcanoes. As previously discussed, strong blasts can also generate atmospheric pressure perturbations which, depending on duration and intensity, may couple with the sea surface to form additional destructive waves of varying periods.

**Lateral and Channelized Blast Mechanisms** – The extensive distribution of deposits from the 1980 eruption of Mt. St. Helens (Fig. 10) is indicative that lateral and channelized blasting effects can be far reaching. A large lateral blast from an erupting Caribbean island volcano could be extremely destructive but could also generate much more destructive local tsunami waves. The May 8, 1902, explosion of Mt. Pelée that destroyed the city of St. Pierre on the island of Martinique was such a lateral blast. Lahars and nuées ardentes generated destructive local tsunamis. The distribution of pyroclastic deposits on the western flank of the underwater volcano Kick’em Jenny indicates that such lateral blasting occurred there in the past. There is also a major escarpment that suggests other types of massive slope failures.

![Diagram of deposits from the May 18, 1980 lateral and channelized blast of Mt. St. Helens](after Tilling, 1984)

Fig. 10. Distribution of deposits from the May 18, 1980 lateral and channelized blast of Mt. St. Helens (after Tilling, 1984)

MECHANISMS OF VOLCANICALLY-INDUCED TSUNAMI GENERATION IN THE LESSER ANTILLES ISLANDS OF THE CARIBBEAN

In view of the above-described complex factors, proper numerical modeling of tsunami generation from volcanic sources requires consideration of explosion dynamics, of the time history of blast events, of the geometry of sudden explosions, and of water displacements caused by both movements of mass and by atmospheric pressure waves.

Recent historical tsunamis generated at Montserrat, Martinique, St Vincent, and Grenada Islands in the Lesser Antilles region were briefly reviewed. Obviously, the mechanisms of tsunami generation from volcanic sources can vary significantly even within the same geotectonic region. In the following sections, the eruptive processes at work for active volcanoes such as Soufriere Hills, Mt. Pelée, Soufriere and Kick'em-Jenny will be reviewed and analyzed, as well the time history of major specific eruptions that resulted directly or indirectly in tsunami generation.

**Soufriere Hills Volcano on Montserrat Island - Eruptive Processes and Mechanisms of Tsunami Generation**

The Soufriere Hills, located on the southern part of Montserrat Island, is a very active, primarily andesitic stratovolcano (Rowley1978), which is the predominant type of explosive volcano in the world. Its present elevation is 915 m. The first known historical eruption was in 1995. Since then there have been several more eruptions in the late 1990s (Hooper and Mattioli, 2001), including one that destroyed the former capital Plymouth. The volcano is presently very active. All of its eruptions have been associated with earthquake swarms, lava dome collapses, steam explosions, ash falls, pyroclastic flows and debris avalanches.

The volcano’s flank instability and its potential for landslides and tsunami generation result from the composition of its magma which is very sticky and has a high content of dissolved water. In fact, eruptions appear to be linked with rainstorms and high earth tides. When the volcano erupts it tends to form a steeply sloped peak made of alternating layers of lava, block, and ash. Thus, the slopes of the volcano become unstable and susceptible to massive landslides and debris avalanches, some of which can reach the sea and generate local tsunamis. In fact several have occurred in the last few years.

**Eruptive Processes:** To understand better the tsunamigenic potential of the Soufriere Hills volcano on Montserrat, we must review further its eruptive processes. Two types of eruptive mechanisms characterize this volcano, both of which have the potential to generate local tsunamis. In both cases, magma inside the volcano is driven up by buoyancy and gas pressure, which may vary depending on its viscosity.

In one type of eruption, the volcano will explode and shoot molten rock violently into the air in the form of dense clouds of lava fragments. The larger and heavier fragments tend to fall back around the volcanic vent, which may become increasingly unstable as the eruption progresses. Often, the accumulation may run down slope as ash flows, while some of the finer particles may be carried by
the wind. Often, both ash and pyroclastic flows can trigger debris avalanches and larger landslides and slope failures on the volcano (DeGraff 1988) that can generate small local tsunamis.

Fig. 11. The Island of Montserrat, the Soufriere Hills volcano, and the abandoned town of Plymouth.

In the second type of eruption, the molten rock - which is lighter than the surrounding solid rock - breaks through the weaker stratigraphic layers and rises closer to the surface forming a lava dome. When the dome becomes too steep, or if pressure within builds up, it collapses and disintegrates, spewing lava and hot ash down the side of the volcano. Also, it may form a mushroom cloud of ash that can spread over the island. The collapse of such lava domes releases pressure and frequently causes subsequent massive eruptions that can also affect the volcano’s flank stability, thus generating pyroclastic flows, debris avalanches, landslides and massive flank failures. In fact a combination of the above-described eruptive processes occurred during eruptions in the summer of 1995. Specifically, on July 18, 1995, Soufriere Hills had its first recorded eruption in historic times. It begun with a small phreatic eruption. Periods of intense seismic activity were associated with ejection of steam and ash, shortly after a new vent opened southwest of an old volcanic dome known as Castle Peak. The eruption culminated into a major event on August 21, 1995, when the volcano begun spouting molten ash, rock, and gas over the island, killing 19 and incinerating the capital city of Plymouth. A strong burst of steam carried a cloud of ash to an altitude of 7,000 feet. The eruption triggered several landslides that reached the sea, but there was no report of any unusual waves being generated. (Mangeney et al. 1998; Calder et al. 1998).

The Eruption and Tsunamis of 26 December 1997 and 1999 and 2003: As already mentioned, the Soufriere Hills volcano either erupts by exploding and expelling lava or by dome collapse. Both types of eruption can be destructive as they can produce dangerous ash hurricanes and pyroclastic flows, trigger landslides and debris avalanches and thus generate tsunamis. Although the 1995 eruption and other volcanic processes that occurred subsequently did not generate a tsunami, apparently they weakened Soufriere Hills’ flanks. This weakness contributed to the subsequent volcanic flank failures associated with the eruptions of 1997, 1999 and 2003 – which generated tsunamis.

Specifically, on June 25, 1997, after two years of precursory swelling and micro earthquake activity, Soufriere Hills volcano erupted again. A damaging pyroclastic flow of ash, gas, and rock killed at least ten people and destroyed nine villages. A lava dome was subsequently observed which built up steadily in the volcano’s crater for over two months. On 26 December 1997, following the collapse of this lava dome, a major eruption occurred. The eruption generated ash hurricanes, which destroyed Plymouth. Both the ash hurricanes and a landslide - possibly assisted by pyroclastic flows triggered by the dome-collapse - reached the sea, along the southwestern coast of the island and generated significant tsunami waves. (Heinrich et al., 1998, 1999a,b, 2001). The maximum runup of the waves was about 3m. about ten kilometers away from the source region, with inland penetration of about 80 meters. The volume of the landslide debris, which generated this tsunami, was estimated to be about 60 million cubic meters (Lander et al., 2003).

Similar debris avalanches and pyroclastic flows associated with the 1999 eruption of Soufriere Hills reached the sea and generated another local tsunami. The height of the waves in the immediate area ranged from1–2m but attenuated rapidly. By the time the waves reached the islands of Guadeloupe and Antigua their heights attenuated considerably. Maximum runup heights were only about 50 cm.
Fig 12. Pyroclastic flow from the 2003 eruption of Soufriere Hills volcano on Montserrat reaching the sea. ("Copyright Montserrat Volcano Observatory/Government of Montserrat and British Geological Survey; photo used by permission of the Director, MVO")

The most recent tsunami was produced by the eruption of July 12, 2003 (local date) following a major collapse of a lava dome (Pelinovsky et al 2004; Young 2004). Pyroclastic flows and a debris avalanche reached the sea at the end of Tar River Valley on the east coast and generated this tsunami, which was reported to be about 4 meters at Spanish Point on Montserrat Island and about 0.5-1 m at Deshaies and near Plage de la Perle on Guadeloupe where it caused some damage to fishing boats.

That debris avalanches and extensive landslides of andesitic volcanoes will only generate local destructive tsunamis, is supported by the April 20, 1988 massive flank failure of the northeast flank of the volcano La Fossa on the Island of Volcano in the southern Tyrrhenian Sea, in Italy. According to modeling studies - which were based on photogrammetric techniques conducted in 1981 and 1991 - the large 1988 flank failure of La Fossa involved a mass with a volume estimated to be about 200,000 cubic meters. The mass that was detached fell into the sea for about 10 seconds. A small tsunami was generated in the bay between Point Nere and Point Luccia on the island. Maximum observed runup height of the waves was about 5.5 meters at Porto di Levante and presumably even at Monterosa on Lipari Island. (Barberi, et al 1990; Lander et al. 2003).

Fig. 13. Map of the Island of Vulcano in Italy where a 200,000 cubic meter massive flank failure on the northeast side generated local tsunami  (after Imbo, 1965 and Keller,1980)
Mt. Pelée Volcano on Martinique Island – Eruptive Processes and Mechanisms of Tsunami Generation

Mt. Pelée on Martinique is a very active island-arc stratovolcano with unstable flanks made mostly of pyroclastic rocks (Smith and Roobol 1990). Its summit elevation is 1397 m. It undergoes similar eruptive processes as other Caribbean volcanoes and can also generate destructive local tsunamis by pyroclastic flows, flank failures or debris avalanches. However, what makes Mt. Pelée unique has been its unusual lava dome formations, the intensity and styles of its eruptions and the unusual and violent pyroclastic flows it can generate (Fisher and Heiken 1982). The volcano has a long history of eruptions in the last 5,000 years (Westercamp and Traina 1983). In more recent historic times the volcano erupted in 1635, 1792, in 1851-1852, in 1902-1905 (Heilprin 1908) and in 1929-1932 (Perret 1937).

The historic record documents two extremely violent eruptions in 1792 and in 1902 - associated with numerous other phenomena that followed dome collapses – and by which local tsunamis were generated. The eruptive processes of Mt. Pelée and the tsunami generation mechanisms that are described in subsequent sections are based on what occurred on Martinique in May of 1992 and whatever little is known about the violent volcanic eruption of 1792.

Eruption Processes of Mt. Pelée - Eruptions of Mt. Pelée range in volcanic explosivity intensity from severe Vulcanian (VEI = 3) - which can occur yearly - to cataclysmic Vulcanian-Plinian events (VEI = 4) separated in time by many decades. The Peléean eruptions - as they are now termed because of their unique characteristics - are extremely violent eruption events that often include collapses of ash columns, and unique pyroclastic flows known as “nuées ardentes” - a type of pyroclastic avalanche mixture of gas, dust, ash and other hot glowing incandescent solid particles and lava fragments - and debris avalanches containing large amounts of ignimbrites (ash flow tuffs). These unusual pyroclastic flows are usually triggered after a lava dome collapse.

The Eruption and Tsunamis of May 1902 - A previously stated, an extremely violent volcanic eruption occurred on Mt. Pelée in 1792. It is very probable that a tsunami was generated at that time as a result of a flank failure or pyroclastic flow, but there are no reports documenting it. However, the 1902 eruption and its associated unusual phenomena are well documented in the literature (Lacroix, 1904; Heilprin 1908; Fisher et al 1980). The May 1902 tsunamis were generated by a lahar and a subsequent nuée ardente of a violent eruptive phase.

In early 1902, a large dome of very viscous lava had gown on Mt. Pelée’s flank near its summit, largely by expansion from within. As the lava dome grew, its outer surface cooled and hardened. There is not much information on the size of this particular lava dome, but it could have been as big as that of the Katmai volcano in Alaska, which collapsed and triggered an eruption in 1912. That dome had been circular and measured about 250 meters across and 60 meters in height. However, what was reported about Mt. Pelée’s lava dome is that it had cut a large V-shaped notch through the cliffs that surrounded the volcano’s summit crater. According to reports, the “notch was like a colossal gun sight pointing directly at the town of St. Pierre”.

According to historic records, on May 5, 1902, a 35-meter lahar cascaded down the flank of the volcano and reached the sea. The lahar generated a local tsunami wave of about 4-5 meters in height, which killed one hundred people in St. Pierre. Subsequently, at approximately 7:50 a.m. on May 8, 1902, the pressure from within the volcano reached a critical level. Suddenly, the summit lava dome collapsed and shattered with a deafening roar, spilling loose fragments down-slope. The sudden release of pressure triggered by the dome collapse resulted in an extremely violent eruptive phase of Mt. Pelée.

Fig. 14. The 1902 Eruption of Mt. Pelée on the island of Martinique. The destruction of the town of St. Pierre was caused by a nuée ardente. (Photograph by Heilprin, 1902).

A large nuée ardente cascaded from the central crater for about 6 km down the south flank, at a velocity of more than 140 Km per hour. In less than one minute it struck the coastal town of St. Pierre, destroying it completely and killing 29,000 of its inhabitants. Only two people are known to have survived. According to reports (Heilprin 1908; Fisher et al 1980) the directional blast was so strong that it carried a three-ton statue sixteen meters from its mount. One-meter thick masonry walls were blown into rubble. “Supporting girders were mangled into twisted strands of metal”. The heat of
the nuée ardente nuee was immense and ignited huge fires. Thousands of barrels of rum that was stored in the city's warehouses exploded and burned in the streets.

Fig. 15. Devastation of the town of St. Pierre on Martinique Island by a Nuee Ardente of the 1902 eruption of Mt. Pelée. (Photograph by Heilprin, 1902).

There is not much direct information on the tsunami that the nuée ardente must have generated, as the immensity of St. Pierre’s destruction overshadowed everything else. However, it was reported that the nuée ardente continued seaward toward the harbor where it destroyed at least twenty ships that were anchored offshore. The American sailing ship “Roraima”, which had arrived only a few hours earlier, burned and all its crew and passengers perished. According to reports, the steamship “Grappler” was capsized by the force of the nuée ardente. However, it is more likely that it was capsized by the wave generated by the nuée ardente within the harbor.

Mechanisms of tsunami generation involving cascading volcanic gases and rapidly moving pyroclastics flows are not confined to Caribbean volcanoes or to Mt. Pelée, in particular. There is
evidence that similar hot glowing avalanches of hot gas, dust, ash and pyroclastics have generated several tsunamis in the distant past in New Zealand and elsewhere around the world.

Fig. 16. St. Vincent Island and the Grenadines (web graphic)
La Soufrière Volcano on St. Vincent Island – Eruptive Processes and Mechanisms of Tsunami Generation

La Soufrière is an active and dangerous stratovolcano on the island of St. Vincent in the Windward Islands of the Caribbean, with a well-documented history of violent eruptions (Robson, 1965a, Shepherd and Aspinall, 1982). The present elevation of its summit is at 1220m. There is a lake within the summit crater. La Soufrière should not be confused with a volcano by the same name on the island of Guadaloupe.

Fig. 17. The 1979 eruption of La Soufrière on St Vincent Island (Photograph by Richard Fiske)

There evidence of activity on Soufrière for the last 650,000 years (Hay 1959; Rowley 1978). In recent times, major eruptions occurred in 1718, 1784, 1812, 1814, 1880, 1902-03 (Anderson 1784; Anderson, T. 1903; Flett 1902,1908; Anderson and Flett 1903; Sapper 1903; Anderson 1908, Carey and Sigurdsson 1978). In the twentieth century they were major eruptions, in 1971-72 (Aspinall et al
1972; Baker, 1972; Tomblin et al. 1972; Aspinall 1973; Aspinall et al 1973) and in 1979 (Shepherd et al 1979; Shepherd et al 1982; Barr and Heffter 1982; Brazier et al 1982; Fiske and Sigurdsson. 1982; Graham and Thirlwall. 1981). The 1812 eruption resulted in many deaths. However, the 1902 eruption was the most catastrophic of all resulting in the loss of 1,600 lives.

**Eruption Processes of Soufrière** - Geologic evidence indicates that for the past 4,000 years the Soufrière volcano’s eruptions have alternated between explosive episodes associated with the forceful ejection of fragmented material and pyroclastic flows to quiet effusion of slow moving lava that forms summit domes (Earle 1924; Hay 1959; Heath et al 1998). The 1979 eruption is typical of such variation. It begun quite suddenly with less than 24 hours of precursor activity. The mechanism of its subsequent explosive eruption has been well documented (Shepherd and Sigurdson, 1982). The first eruptive episode was Vulcanian in character. It sent a plume of steam and tephra to a height of 20 km. and lasted a little less than two weeks (Sparks and Wilson. 1982). The second episode consisted of a quiet extrusion and growth of a basaltic andesite lava dome (Huppert et al. 1982).

**The Eruption and Tsunamis of May 7, 1902** – There is not much information about tsunamis generated from eruptions or flank failures of the Soufrière stratovolcano, although several must have occurred in the geologic past - and even more recently. As mentioned previously, on May 7, 1902, a day before the most violent eruption of Mt Pelée on Martinique, tsunamis like disturbances were reported for the harbors of Grenada, Barbados and Saint Lucia. The most likely source could have been air pressure waves from the violent eruption of Soufrière on that day, or pyroclastic flows and debris avalanches reaching the sea.

Coincidentally, the historic record also shows that on the same day - May 7, 1902 - the submarine communication cables from the island of Martinique to the outside world were cut. The exact area where the cables failed is not known. Thus, it is difficult to determine what caused the cable failures and whether the sea level disturbances observed at the harbors of Grenada, Barbados and Saint Lucia had the same source. The waves could have been generated by an unknown flank failure of Mt Pelée, and the cable failures by an underwater debris avalanche. On May 5, Martinique had already experienced a destructive local tsunami generated by a lahar.

**Kick’em Jenny Submarine Volcano near the Island of Grenada – Eruptive Processes and Mechanisms of Tsunami Generation**

Kick’em Jenny is a growing submarine volcano about 8 km off the north side of the island of Grenada. It is the southernmost active volcano in the Lesser Antilles volcanic arc and has erupted frequently during the 20th Century (Smithsonian Institution, 1999). Presently, the volcano has a circular base of about 5000m, its main cone has reached a height of about 1300m above the sea floor, and its summit is about190 m below the sea surface. The volcano is expected to reach the surface and form an island in the future – if there is no flank subsidence or cone collapse.

Kick’em Jenny’s first recorded eruption occurred in 1939, but many unreported eruptions must have occurred prior to that date. Since 1939 there have been at least twelve or more events. Most of

In 2003, during a survey of Kick’em Jenny, an inactive underwater volcano was discovered about 3 km away. It is, now known by the name of Kick’em Jack.

**The Eruption and Tsunamis of 1939 and 1974**: According to historical accounts and eyewitness reports from northern Grenada, the July 24, 1939 eruption of Kick'em Jenny was major and lasted for at least 24 hours. The eruption ejected a cloud plume above the sea surface. Furthermore at the peak of the eruption, the cloud plume rose 275 meters above the sea surface (Tilling, 1985; Univ. of West Indies, 2001). The eruption generated numerous tsunami-like waves of short period. These waves had maximum amplitudes of about 2 meters in northern Grenada and the southern Grenadines, but were almost imperceptible when they reached the west coast of the Barbados.

**Eruption Processes of Kick’em-Jenny**: The underwater topography of the sea floor north of Grenada indicates that Kick'em Jenny comprises of three small craters and two lava domes – all of which probably share the same magmatic chambers.

![Fig. 18. Two-minute topography of the sea floor north of the island of Grenada, showing the geomorphology of the calderas, cones and domes, generally known as the Kick'em Jenny volcano (web graphic)](web graphic)
As most of the Caribbean volcanoes, Kick’em Jenny has had both violent and effusive eruptive episodes. Eruptions of the volcano have been associated with magmas, which have ranged in compositions from basalt to basaltic andesitic. Thus, gently extruded submarine pillow lavas and domes as well as tephra and other pyroclastics from minor phreatomagmatic explosions, are present in submarine deposits around the volcano.

**Flank instability:** The distribution and orientation of pyroclastic deposits on the sea floor, primarily to the west side of Kick'em Jenny, indicate that many volcanic eruptions must have occurred that have been lateral or channelized blasts, possibly following the collapse of lava domes. Furthermore NOAA surveys in 2003 demonstrated the presence of deposits from a debris avalanche. The geomorphology of the sea floor indicates that this debris avalanche extends west for 15 km and perhaps as much as 30 km from the volcano, into the Grenada Basin (Sigurdsson et al 2004). Also, earlier multibeam surveys of the sea floor discovered the existence of an arcuate fault escarpment - of yet unknown age - to the east of the active cone. Because of its shape and length, this escarpment cannot be related to caldera subsidence and collapse. Its configuration and the overall geomorphology of the sea floor suggest that a larger scale subsidence or volcanic mass edifice collapse occurred in the distant past. It also suggests that Kick’em Jenny volcano might have been at or above sea level in the past.

![Bathymetry and distribution of volcanic deposits from eruptions of Kick’em Jenny volcano](http://volcano.und.edu/vwdocs/volc_images/north_america/kick.html)

Fig. 19. Bathymetry and distribution of volcanic deposits from eruptions of Kick’em Jenny volcano (Web graphic at [http://volcano.und.edu/vwdocs/volc_images/north_america/kick.html](http://volcano.und.edu/vwdocs/volc_images/north_america/kick.html))
Overall, the volcano’s present rapid upward growth towards the surface of the sea is indicative of active vertical summit eruptions and the build up of a cone by deposition of pyroclastics. However, the flanks of this cone must be very unstable and subject to collapses and the generation of future debris avalanches, which could slow the volcano’s present rate of growth. Additionally, hydromagmatic explosions associated with future eruptions could also result in greater flank instability and might also slow down the rate of growth. Future major eruptions can be expected to be more violent and to eject sizeable columns above the sea surface to heights much greater than those of the 1939 and 1974 events. Major future eruptions can be expected to have considerably higher plume clouds, because of the greater strength of hydromagmatic episodes as the summit approaches the sea surface and the inclusion of a higher content of molecular water – in the form of superheated steam - along with ejected tephra and other fine pyroclastic materials.

Assessment of the Tsunamigenic Potential of Future Eruptions of Kick'em Jenny:

The frequency of Kick'em Jenny’s eruptions and the volcano’s rapid growth toward the sea surface have raised concerns that future eruptions will generate tsunami waves with far reaching destructive effects on Caribbean islands and along the coast of Venezuela. Earthquake swarms in late 2001 added to concerns that Kick’em Jenny will again have a major eruption.

Although there is a good probability that several eruptions will occur in the near future – and in fact the latest occurred on March 15, 2003 - the potential tsunami risk from a future eruption has been highly exaggerated by the introduction of speculative and highly unrealistic “worst case” scenarios. Kick'em Jenny is not Krakatau and does not pose the purported potential tsunami danger that has been misreported by the media. Kick'em Jenny is a much smaller volcano than Krakatau and has much smaller crater dimensions and magmatic chambers. The tectonic interactions that have produced this volcanic center in the Caribbean are substantially different than those of Krakatau, which erupted in 1883 and generated a destructive tsunami, which killed nearly 37,000 people in Indonesia (Pararas-Carayannis, 2003). Kick'em Jenny’s magmatic geochemistry is substantially different. Its magma composition ranges from mainly basalt to basaltic andesite. At the present stage of its development, Kick’em Jenny volcano’s small dimensions and geochemistry prevent eruptions of Vulcanian or Plinian intensity or extremely massive volcanic edifice collapses. The following is a realistic analysis of Kick'em Jenny’s tsunamigenic potential and future risks.

Tsunami Generation from Submarine Explosive Eruptions: Even a major explosion at a peak phase of Kick'em Jenny’s eruption would be expected to generate tsunami-like waves, not as a single event but spread over a period of 24 hours or more. The periods of these waves will be relatively short and will range from 1-4 minutes at the most. Because of the short periods and wavelengths, the wave heights will decay rapidly with distance. As in 1939, the waves from future eruptions will be of significance along the north coast of Grenada and along the western coasts of Isla de Ronde and Isla Calle (Grenadine Islands), and possibly Tobago, St. Vincent and Barbados, but not anywhere else in the Caribbean. This conclusion is further supported by the numerical modeling studies that were conducted at the Los Alamos National Laboratory (Gisler et al 2004). Specifically, numerical simulations of Kick-'em Jenny’s explosions with the same 3-D compressible hydro code used for asteroid impacts - and injecting as much as 20 kilotons of thermal energy at the apex of Kick'em
Jenny’s volcanic cone, confirmed that only short period tsunami-like waves can be generated and that the waves will attenuate rapidly away from the source. Accordingly, it is concluded that explosive eruptions do not couple well to water waves. The waves that are generated from such eruptions are turbulent and highly dissipative, and don’t propagate well.

Fig. 20. Morphology of Kick’em Jenny volcano and of an extensive slope failure of unknown age. (NOAA multibeam submarine survey)

At the present time, the depth of Kick'em Jenny’s summit and the hydrostatic pressure above it dampen the energy of eruptive explosions - although both the 1939 and 1974 events were violent enough to break through the sea surface. As the volcano keeps on growing towards the surface, the hydrostatic column pressure above the eruptive vents will decrease significantly. Future eruptions can be expected to be more explosive. However, even such future eruptions will only generate waves of short period and their heights will decay rapidly.

**Tsunami Generation from Submarine Crater Collapses:** Even if one or all of Kick'em Jenny’s three small craters collapse, no major waves will be generated. For example, when the summit of the submarine volcano Loihi collapsed in Hawaii during the summer of 1996, the wave that was generated was of short period and decayed very rapidly (Mader 2004). The cavity generated by the Loihi collapse was 1000 meters wide and 300 meters deep, which is much greater than any potential cavity that could be expected from collapses of any or all of Kick'em Jenny’s craters. The fact that the top of the Loihi cavity was at 1050 meters depth while the top of Kick'em- Jenny’s main crater is at 190 meters will not be much of a factor in tsunami wave generation, since the waves will be of short periods and will behave as shallow waves.

**Tsunami Generation from Submarine Volcanic Dome Collapses:** Similarly, submarine dome collapses on Kick'em- Jenny will probably trigger major eruptions – perhaps lateral blasts - with the associated pyroclastic flows and debris avalanches. However, it is expected that the volume of the ejecta and gases will be relatively small and that any resulting tsunami-like waves that will be generated will not be greater than those generated by the 1939 or 1974 eruptions.

**Tsunami Generation from Future Subaerial Volcanic Collapses, Flank Failures and Massive Volcanic Edifice Failures:** When Kick'em Jenny breaks through the sea surface and begins to build in height, it is expected that its eruptions will be more violent and that its flanks will be even more unstable than they are now. As with other active Caribbean volcanoes, waves may be generated by violent eruptive episodes, from caldera and dome collapses, from pyroclastic flows, landslides, flank failures, debris avalanches or even massive volcanic edifice failures. However the tsunami waves will be of relatively short periods (1-4 minutes at the most). Although the waves may be significant locally, they will decay rapidly.

**Worst Possible Scenario:** Based on the pattern of Kick'em Jenny’s eruptive activity, a “worst case scenario” at the present time would be a repeat of the 1939 eruption, but at the shallower depth of the present summit. The waves from the 1939 event were about 2 meters in northern Grenada and the southern Grenadines but substantially lower on the west coast of the Barbados. A large violent eruption similar to the 1939 event, at the present depth of summit, can be expected to generate waves with a probable maximum runup of about 3 meters in Northern Grenada and the Grenadines, and as much as 1-2 meters along the west coast of the Barbados, Trinidad, and St. Vincent. The wave heights along the nearest coastline of northern Bonaire and Venezuela may be up to 1 meter at the most. When the volcano breaks through the surface of the sea, the probable maximum runup could be as much as 4 meters on Northern Grenada and as much as 2 meters along the west coast of the Barbados, Trinidad, and St. Vincent.
ASSESSMENT OF FUTURE RISKS OF TSUNAMIS FROM VOLCANIC SOURCES – TSUNAMI FORECASTING AND PREPAREDNESS FOR THE CARIBBEAN REGION

The historic record indicates that Caribbean volcanoes pose a serious threat for several islands in the region (Robertson1992, 1995). The 1902 eruptions of Mt Pelée on Martinique and of La Soufrière on St Vincent, and the more recent eruptions of Soufriere Hills on Montserrat, and of Kick’em Jenny in Grenada increased awareness that tsunamis generated from volcanic sources represent an additional hazard that needs to be addressed individually for each of the region’s active volcanoes. Fortunately - and in contrast to the unpredictability of tsunamis of seismic origin - tsunamis generated from volcanic sources can be forecast for the Caribbean region and appropriate measures can be taken. Preparedness for the tsunami hazard should include the monitoring of precursory eruptive processes as ongoing presently (Sigurdsson 1981; Shepherd and Aspinall 1982; Shepherd 1989) but, additionally, studies of geomorphologies and flank instabilities of each individual volcano and the mapping of risk areas that can contribute to massive volcanic edifice failures – with or without a volcanic triggering event – and thus in the generation of destructive tsunami waves.

Already, as a result of greater awareness and concerns about the threat of volcanic hazards in the Caribbean region, several scientific organizations have already established monitoring stations on several islands. For example, following the devastating 1902 eruption of La Soufrière volcano on St. Vincent Island, a surveillance program was initiated. In 1952, a Seismic Research Unit was established on the island and a sustained program of volcano monitoring was undertaken (Fiske and Shepherd 1990). Similarly, following the 1995 eruption of the Soufriere Hills volcano on the island of Montserrat, a very effective monitoring program was established by the Montserrat Volcano Observatory. Additionally, the Universities of Puerto Rico and of the West Indies have undertaken extensive monitoring functions and programs. Present volcano monitoring operations include routine measurements of geological, geophysical and geochemical parameters and assessments of precursory-to-an-eruption phenomena. With some small additional effort, these existing volcano monitoring programs in the Caribbean region can easily assess future risks for the collateral tsunami hazard, develop micro-zonation maps of potential tsunami hazard sites along the coast and help establish programs of tsunami preparedness for the public. The following sections summarize briefly the importance of monitoring some of the precursory-to-an-eruption phenomena as they relate to potential tsunami generation.

**Micro-earthquake Activity:** Routine monitoring of a volcano’s micro-earthquake activity helps forecast eruptions (Hirn et al1987). For example, before a major eruption occurs on Soufriere Hills on the island of Montserrat, the increasing pressure within the volcano generates a flurry of micro-earthquakes, which are indicative of magma movement. When this activity peaks and the focus of micro earthquakes becomes shallower, it becomes evident that the pressure within the volcano has reached a critical phase and that a fairly imminent eruption can be expected. Such monitoring is presently in effect for several islands with active volcanoes.

**Monitoring Lava Dome Formation and Rate of Growth:** Measuring the swelling of the volcano with tilt meters and other geodetic and photogrammetric means may also indicate if there is
intrusion by a lava dome, the dome’s rate of growth, and its potential for collapse. Mature lava domes, which grow slowly, are usually non-explosive. Similarly non-explosive are post eruption lava domes, such as the felsic lava dome known as the Tower of Pelée - extruded in the waning stages of the 1902 eruption of Mt. Pelée on Martinique.

However, younger, fast growing, pre-eruption extruded domes that contain lava which has not been completely degassed, may explode or collapse. The eruption and explosion of Mt. St. Helens in the State of Washington were preceded by the rapid development of a lava dome over a three-year period from 1980 to 1983. Also, a lava dome formed rapidly on the Soufriere Hills volcano’s crater on Montserrat Island over a two-month period prior to the major eruption of 26 December 1997. The dome formation served as a natural warning for the residents of Plymouth to evacuate, thus there was no losses of life. Stations on several Caribbean islands with active volcanoes, routinely monitor lava dome formation and rates of growth.

**Evaluation of Potential Lava Dome Collapses**: The periodic explosion or gravitational collapses of the viscous masses of lava domes can sometimes generate deadly pyroclastic flows that can reach the sea and generate tsunami waves. Lava dome collapses were associated with the 1902 eruption and the nuée ardente of Mt. Pelée on Martinique, the 1902 eruption of Soufriere on St. Vincent Island, and the 1997, 1999 and 2003 eruptions, pyroclastic flows and debris avalanches of Soufriere Hills on Montserrat Island – the latter generating significant tsunami waves along the southwestern coast of the island.

In view of the above, it is important to monitor changes of lava domes and their potential for collapses. Furthermore, since lava dome collapses, particularly near a volcanic summit may be followed by violent eruptions, pyroclastic flows and debris avalanches, the expected path of destruction and potential flank failure sites can be determined by careful evaluation of the local topography and geomorphology. Based on such assessments, coastal areas subject to the tsunami hazard could be identified, microzonation maps can be drawn and appropriate warning signs be posted for the protection of the public.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Historical tsunami events from volcanic sources in the Caribbean Region have been under-reported as the immensity of destruction from volcanic events has overshadowed them. Small scale flank failures are quite common for most of the active volcanoes in the Caribbean. Such volcanic sources have the potential of generating destructive local waves in confined bodies of water and in the near field environment of an open coast. Local tsunamis can also be generated by gravity-induced flank failures, even in the absence of eruptive triggering events. Heavy rains and earth tides appear to play a significant role in small scale flank failures of unstable volcanic slopes.

Tsunami or tsunami-like waves can be generated by a variety of volcanic mechanisms, pyroclastic flows, debris avalanches, and volcanic edifice mass failures and by aerial or submarine landslides. Impulsively generated waves from such complex source mechanisms behave non-linearly and change...
significantly away from the source, with varying near and far field effects and terminal run up heights. However the wave periods are short and range from 1-4 minutes at most. The heights of these waves attenuate rapidly with distance because of relatively smaller source dimensions and shorter wave periods and do not pose a significant danger at great distances from the source. Caribbean volcanoes and their associated flank failures can be forecast with careful monitoring and programs of preparedness need to be established.

At the present time, the Soufriere Hills volcano on the island of Montserrat poses the greater threat of local tsunami generation in the Eastern Caribbean Region. Its eruptive activity in the last decade, the rapid rates of lava dome formations and growth and the associated collapses and eruptive style, indicate ongoing active volcanic processes that will continue for many years. Tsunamis can be expected in the near future from both pyroclastic flows reaching the sea and by flank collapses.

The historic record supports that Mt. Pelée on the island of Martinique will continue to pose a threat for a repeat of a Vulcanian-Plinian episode in the future. When this will happen is not known. However, given the sophistication of present monitoring programs, any future activity of the volcano will be properly forecast and cautionary measures will be taken. Local tsunamis may be expected around the island by flank failures of unstable slopes, even in the absence of a triggering volcanic event.

The historic record supports that the stratovolcano “La Soufrière” on the island of St. Vincent poses a very significant threat for renewed activity in the future. Given the fact that there is a lake at the summit, there is also a potential danger that even an eruption of moderate activity may cause a breach on the crater’s rim and trigger a dangerous lahar which may be destructive and may even generate a local tsunami if it reaches the sea. Also the instability of La Soufrière flanks pose a threat of failures and of local tsunami generation – even in the absence of a volcanic eruption. Heavy rains, gravitational forces and earth tides may be significant triggering factors.

Kick’em Jenny volcano will continue to rise towards the surface and eventually will form an island volcano. It is possible that its present rate of growth may be slowed down by cone collapses and subsidence. The dimensions of the volcano and the style of expected eruptions and intensities limit the size of tsunamis that can be generated from future events. A large violent eruption of the Kick’em Jenny volcano at the present depth of the summit, can be expected to generate waves with a probable maximum runup of about 3 meters in Northern Crenada and the Grenadines, and as much as 1-2 meters along the west coast of the Barbados, Trinidad, and St. Vincent. The wave heights along the nearest coastline of northern Bonaire and Venezuela may be up to 1 meter at the most. When the volcano breaks through the surface of the sea, the probable maximum runup of a tsunami from a major eruption could be as much as 4 meters on Northern Grenada and as much as 2 meters along the west coast of the Barbados, Trinidad, and St. Vincent.
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